

National Institute of Clinical Studies

APPENDIX F: Levels of evidence and recommendation grading

Emergency Department Stroke and Transient Ischaemic Attack Care Bundle:

Information and implementation package

© Commonwealth of Australia 2009

Suggested citation

National Institute of Clinical Studies. Emergency department stroke and transient ischaemic attack care bundle: information and implementation guide. Melbourne: National Health and Medical Research Council; 2009.

Other formats

Electronic copies of this publication are available at: www.nhmrc.gov.au/nics

Endorsements



Disclaimer

Health professionals are advised to use clinical discretion when applying information contained in this document. NHMRC does not accept liability for any injury, loss or damage incurred by use of or reliance on the information. NHMRC cannot guarantee and assumes no legal liability or responsibility for the currency, completeness or accuracy of the information. The views and opinions expressed in this document do not necessarily represent those of the Australian Government.

National Health and Medical Research Council National Institute of Clinical Studies GPO Box 4530 Melbourne VIC 3001

Phone:	(03) 8866 0400 Fax: (03) 8866 0499
Email:	nhmrc.publications@nhmrc.gov.au (subject line: Stroke
	care bundle)
Website:	www.nhmrc.gov.au/nics
ABN:	88 601 010 284

WORKING TO BUILD A HEALTHY AUSTRALIA

Information and implementation package

Appendix F: Levels of evidence and recommendation grading

Grading of recommendations⁸

Grade	Description
А	Body of evidence can be trusted to guide practice
В	Body of evidence can be trusted to guide practice in most situations
С	Body of evidence provides some support for recommendation(s) but care should be taken in its application
D	Body of evidence is weak and recommendation must be applied with caution
\checkmark	Recommended best practice based on clinical experience and expert opinion

Designations of levels of evidence according to type of research question $^{\scriptscriptstyle 8}$

Level	Intervention	Diagnosis	Prognosis	Aetiology	Screening
I	A systematic review of Level II studies	A systematic review of Level II studies	A systematic review of Level II studies	A systematic review of Level II studies	A systematic review of Level II studies
	A randomised controlled trial	A study of test accuracy with an independent, blinded comparison with a valid reference standard, among consecutive patients with a defined clinical presentation	A prospective cohort study	A prospective cohort study	A randomised controlled trial
-	A pseudorandomised controlled trial (i.e. alternate allocation of some other method)	A study of test accuracy with an independent, blinded comparison with a valid reference standard, among consecutive patients with a defined clinical presentation	All or none	All or none	A pseudorandomised controlled trial (i.e. alternate allocation of some other method)
111-2	 A comparative study with concurrent controls: Non-randomised, experimental trial Cohort study Case-control study Interrupted time series with a control group 	A comparison with reference standard that does not meet the criteria required for Level II and III-1	Analysis of prognostic factors amongst untreated control patients in a randomised controlled trial	A retrospective cohort study	A comparative study with concurrent controls: Non-randomised, experimental trial Cohort study Case-control study
III-3	 A comparative study without concurrent controls: Historical control study Two or more single arm study Interrupted time series without a parallel control group 	Diagnostic case-control study	A retrospective cohort study	A case-control study	 A comparative study without concurrent controls: Historical control study Two or more single arm study
IV	Case studies with either post-test or pre-test/post- test outcomes	Study of diagnostic yield (no reference standard)	Case series, or cohort study of patients at different stages of disease	A cross- sectional study	Case studies