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Questions

1. Please indicate which format you read the guideline in.
   - PDF report

2. The draft guidelines are presented in a new IT platform, MAGICapp. Please indicate how strongly you agree with the following statement: *The draft Australian Guidelines to Reduce Health Risks from Drinking Alcohol are easy to navigate in MAGICapp.*
   - No comment

3. Please indicate how strongly you agree with the following statement: *The Plain English summary is clear, simple and easy to understand.*
   - No comment

4. Do you have any comments on how the *Plain English summary* could be improved?
   - No comment

5. Do you have any comments on how the *Introduction* could be improved?
   - N/A

6. Do you have any comments on how the *Background* could be improved?
   - N/A

7. Please indicate how strongly you agree with the following statement: *The Understanding risk section is clear, simple and easy to understand.*
   - No comment
8. Do you have any comments on how the *Understanding risk* section could be improved?
   N/A

9. Do you have any comments on how the evidence has been used to develop the recommendation for Guideline One?
   Positive correlation between alcohol consumption and cancer is insufficient evidence of cause and effect. The reality is that: (1) a majority of those who consume alcohol do not get cancer; (2) we do not know what causes cancer.

10. Is there any evidence relevant for this guideline that has been missed? If so, please provide the citation(s).
    N/A

11. Do you have any editorial or readability comments on the sections that make up Guideline One?
    N/A

12. Do you have any comments on how the evidence has been used to develop the recommendation for Guideline Two?
    N/A

13. Is there any evidence relevant for this guideline that has been missed? If so, please provide the citation(s).
    N/A

14. Do you have any editorial or readability comments on the sections that make up Guideline Two?
    N/A

15. Do you have any comments on how the evidence has been used to develop the recommendation for Guideline Three?
    N/A

16. Is there any evidence relevant for this guideline that has been missed? If so, please provide the citation(s).
    N/A

17. Do you have any editorial or readability comments on the sections that make up Guideline Three?
    N/A

18. Do you have any comments on how the *Drinking frequency* section could be improved?
    N/A

19. Do you have any comments on how the *Administrative report* could be improved?
    N/A

20. Are there any additional terms that should be added to the *glossary*?
    N/A

21. Are there any additional abbreviations or acronyms that should be added to this section?
    DALY (disability-adjusted life years)

22. Do you have any comments on how the *Australian standard drinks* section could be improved?
    N/A
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