

Hello Vesna

>

> I have now read the document and have two issues which I would like to

> raise.

>

> I am sure that these issues have been discussed at some length but they

> still need to be addressed had

>

> Section 3.2.4 Page 20

>

> /"If disclosure without consent is to take place , the authorising
> medical practitioner should consider notifying the patient of this
decision"

> /

> Even though I recognise the recognise the potential conflict with the

> patient in notifying the decision I would have thought it was
necessary

> to notify for privacy reasons and because the patient will
undoubtedly

> find out at some point and cause even greater issues between doctor
and

> patient.

>

> I suggest that this should to always notify the patient.

>

> This applies also in other guidelines/scenarios where disclosure
without

> consent is to take place e.g. 3.3.1

>

> Section 3.3.1 Page 22

>

> /"Not all people who have been contacted will respond. Repeated
attempts

> should not be made to contact non-responders because they may have
made

> a choice not to seek further information."

>

> / In this case it is not clear if the person has actually received
the

> letter of contact. If we are not sure then surely the doctor should
make

> repeated attempts to contact the person to ensure that the person has
> the information on which to make a choice.

>

> Perhaps the letter could have tear off slip to acknowledge receipt
and

> advise their position. ✓

>

> If the contact has been made and confirmed then no follow up is
required

> - is this the what is meant

>

>

> Regards

>

> David Shaw